## **Marine Scotland Science – EIA Checklist**

MSS Standard EIA Report Requirements	Provided in application YES/NO	If YES – please signpost to relevant chapter of EIA Report	If not provided or provided different to MSS advice, please set out reasons.	ECU/MSS use – comments
1. A map outlining the proposed development area and the proposed location of: the turbines, associated crane hardstanding areas, borrow pits, permanent meteorological masts, access tracks including watercourse crossings, all buildings including substation, battery storage, permanent and temporary construction compounds, all watercourses, and contour lines.	Yes	See Chapter 2: Proposed Development for details and associated Figure 2.3 for Proposed Development layout.		
2. A description and results of the site characterisation surveys for fish (including fully quantitative electrofishing surveys) and water quality including the location of the electrofishing and fish habitat survey sites and water quality sampling sites on the map outlining the proposed turbines and associated infrastructure.	Yes	Fisheries baseline characterisation information and locations of contemporary electrofishing sampling sites are detailed and presented in Chapter 6: Ecology, Appendix 6.4: LLFT Fish Survey Report and Figure 6.10.  Water quality data published by SEPA has been used to characterise surface water quality. See Chapter 8: Geology, Hydrology and Peat.		
3. An outline of the potential impacts on fish populations and water quality within and	Yes	Chapter 6: Ecology and Chapter 8: Geology, Hydrogeology, Hydrology and Peat		

downstream of the proposed development area.				
4. Any potential cumulative impacts on the water quality and fish populations associated with adjacent (operational and consented) developments including wind farms, hydro schemes, aquaculture and mining.	Yes	Any scoped-in relevant cumulative impacts are discussed in Chapter 6: Ecology and Chapter 8: Geology, Hydrogeology, Hydrology and Peat		
Any proposed site-specific mitigation measures as outlined in MSS generic scoping guidelines and the joint publication "Good Practice during Wind Farm Construction"	Yes	Industry standard good practice and embedded mitigation measures would apply, with more details provided in Chapter 2: Proposed Development, Chapter 6: Ecology and Chapter 8: Geology, Hydrogeology, Hydrology and Peat.  Additional mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures are proposed as part of the Proposed Developments Outline Biodiversity Enhancement & Management Plan (OBEMP) (see Appendix 6.6). The OBEMP includes extensive proposals on peatland enhancement/restoration and woodland and scrub creation and enhancement (including of riparian		
6. Full details of proposed	No	areas) which collectively would have multiple beneficial effects on fisheries and water quality interests.	Detailed monitoring proposals are not	
monitoring programmes using guidelines issued by MSS and	NU		included at this stage. It is expected any fisheries/invertebrate or water quality	

accompanied by a map outlining the proposed sampling and control sites in addition to the location of all turbines and associated infrastructure (see wording suggested by MSS for planning conditions).		monitoring requirements will be a condition of consent. Therefore, due to the typical timescales in application determination and taking account of feedback from relevant consultees, detailed monitoring plans would be prepared post-consent and preconstruction during the discharge of conditions process in order to take account of any further contemporary information or changes to the Proposed Development.
7. A decommissioning and restoration plan outlining proposed mitigation/ monitoring for water quality and fish populations.	No	A decommissioning and restoration plan is not included at this stage. It is expected such a plan would be a condition of consent. Given the long timescales involved in reaching the decommissioning phase it is more appropriate to prepare this plan closer to the time of decommissioning in order to account for and consider up to date relevant policy, guidance and standards.

Developers should specifically	Provided in	If YES – please signpost to relevant	If not provided or provided different to	ECU/MSS use –
discuss and assess potential	application	chapter of EIA Report	MSS advice, please set out reasons.	comments
impacts and appropriate	YES/NO			
mitigation measures				
associated with the following				
8. Any designated area (i.e.	Yes	The Endrick Water SAC is over 6.8 km		
SAC), for which fish is a		from the Proposed Development and is		
qualifying feature, within		considered in <b>Chapter 6: Ecology</b>		
and/or downstream of the				
proposed development area.				

9. The presence of a large	Yes	Chapter 8: Geology, Hydrogeology,		
density of watercourses.		Hydrology and Peat discusses		
		watercourses and drainage patterns.		
10. The presence of large areas	Yes	Chapter 8: Geology, Hydrogeology,		
of deep peat deposits.		Hydrology and Peat presents the		
		results of a detailed programme of		
		peat depth probing.		
11. Known acidification	No		Specific additional Site pressures not	
problems and/or other existing			known, although acidification is unlikely to	
pressures on fish populations			be a factor given the low coniferous tree	
in the area.			cover at the Site. General catchment and	
			regional pressures may exist, for instance	
			due to barriers and climate change.	
			Murroch Burn appears to be underutilised	
			by salmon (Appendix 6.4) but exact	
			reasons are not known and there may be	
			several contributing factors.	
			The OBEMP (Appendix 6.6) includes	
			proposals on peatland enhancement/	
			restoration and woodland and scrub	
			creation and enhancement (including of	
			riparian areas) which collectively would	
			have multiple beneficial effects on	
			fisheries and water quality interests.	
			Following further consultation with the	
			Loch Lomond Fisheries Trust (LLFT) the	
			finalised BEMP may also include	
			provision for further riparian	
			enhancement works to increase	
			utilisation of the Murroch Burn by	
			salmon.	
			Saimon.	

12. Proposed felling	Yes	Very minimal felling is predicted as part	
operations.		of the Proposed Development – see	ı
		Chapter 6: Ecology and Appendix 14.1	ı